

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/657,420	09/08/2003	Jose E. Lizardi	022956-0238	9019	
21125	7590 12/30/2005		EXAM	INER	
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G		
	ADE CENTER WEST T BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, M	IA 02210-2604		3731		

**DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/	,
		Ę	_	/
_	-	_	_	-

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/657,420	LIZARDI, JOSE E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael G. Mendoza	3731		

	Michael G. Mendoza	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	ring replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply multiple of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr nally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 224)
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>	<u> </u>		•
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 70, 71, and 73-85. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  ——.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Li does not teach a tapered tip. The Examiner disagrees.

Main Entry: 3taper Function: verb

Inflected Form(s): ta pered; ta per ing /'tA-p(&-)ri[ng]/

intransitive senses

1 : to become progressively smaller toward one end. http://www.m-w.com/dictionary/tapered.

Figure 6 of Li clearly shows that both sides of the apparatus become prgressively smaller.

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 12/27/05

2